



Alternative and Natural Cancer Treatment and Therapy in Australia by Richard Malter

Alternative and natural cancer treatments and therapies in Australia, though often described by these terms, are currently characterized as being mostly palliatively orientated, to varying degrees, and predominantly consist of general health promoting practises such as meditation, lifestyle and dietary changes. There are also traditional Chinese medicine and Indian Ayurvedic practices and numerous others from various healing traditions that provide treatments for “cancer”, based on their respective, insightful whole person healing medical models. All these approaches are **usually implemented supplementary to conventional oncological interventions**.

While all of these practises are very valid and can be highly beneficial and health-promoting, they **do not deal directly with the neoplastic tissues** (“cancers”). Specifically, they do not directly examine and evaluate the neoplastic tissue(s) and their problem microenvironments. They deal with the body much like a bathtub full of water: throw something in and hope it will affect every tiny space inside that body equally as intended.

However, increasingly, this specialization in the treatment of “cancer” seems to be necessary. Recent research into “cures” for cancer has uncovered that not only is each individual unique from a medical perspective, but that there is also an **extreme heterogeneity of neoplastic tissue(s) between individuals**—regardless of those aberrant tissues being same-typed, based on standard cytology and clinical presentation conventions.

Current alternative and natural cancer treatments and therapies must also catch up with a now ubiquitous environmental health factor, of scientifically confirmed, genetics damaging proportions—**artificial radiofrequency (RF) electrosmog**, from sources such as phone towers, microwave ovens, mobile phones, and the myriad of other wireless technology devices. The World Health Organization and the internationally compiled and massive Bioinitiative Report have been flagging up code red alarms for years over RF electrosmog's well-documented genotoxicity. Any prospective comprehensive 'holistic' alternative and natural cancer care must include this new modern world demon or consign itself to inadequacy.

Another under-considered fact is that many people have small cancers coming and going throughout their lives, that normally the body just takes care of. There are also the “spontaneous remissions” that occasionally get sensational press. These occurrences need considering and integrating into alternative and natural cancer treatments and therapies, in a full and proper scientific way; rather than, as is common, effectively completely discarding them, by merely labelling them as “miracle” healings.

What to do? Conventional oncology has made little advances in the last few decades. It's actually hard to tell if there is any improvement at all. The whole gamut of statistics showing dynamics of survival rates is based on so many known and unknown

unconsidered variables in the data that it is rendered near meaningless. Even establishing the starting dates for the data, of confirmed diagnoses, more often than not relies on a radiographic 'shadow' of a suspected cancer, rather than as necessary, on identifying early functional neoplastic changes in the problem tissue microenvironments.

It's obvious that we need a comprehensive, **'multi-category' treatment approach**, for non-destructive, alternative and natural cancer treatment and therapy.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/) based on a work at electromedicine.org.au

